

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
09/515,338	02/29/2000	J. Larry Summêrs	067575.0104	1918
75	90 02/26/2004	, "	EXAMI	NER
Christopher W Kennerly Esq			BUI, BING Q	
Baker Botts LLP 2001 Ross Avenue		•	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
Dallas, TX 75			2642	-//
			DATE MAILED: 02/26/2004	, '/

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
•	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Comments	09/515,338	SUMMERS ET AL.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Bing Q Bui	2642			
The MAILING DATE of this communicate Period for Reply	ion appears on the cover sheet w	vith the correspondence address			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICA  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communic  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) da  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statuto  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will,  - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	TION. 7 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a ation. 1ys, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MO by statute, cause the application to become A	reply be timely filed  irty (30) days will be considered timely.  NTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status					
1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed o	n <u>17 November 2003</u> .				
	☐ This action is non-final.				
3) Since this application is in condition for	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is				
closed in accordance with the practice u	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.				
Disposition of Claims					
4) ⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-56</u> is/are pending in the appl 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are v 5) ⊠ Claim(s) <u>23-56</u> is/are allowed. 6) ⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-22</u> is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction	vithdrawn from consideration.				
Application Papers					
9) The specification is objected to by the E	xaminer.	•			
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)		by the Examiner.			
Applicant may not request that any objection	n to the drawing(s) be held in abeya	ance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).			
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the	correction is required if the drawing	g(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).			
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by	the Examiner. Note the attache	ed Office Action or form PTO-152.			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority doc 2. Certified copies of the priority doc 3. Copies of the certified copies of the application from the International * See the attached detailed Office action for	cuments have been received. cuments have been received in A he priority documents have beer Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	Application No n received in this National Stage			
Attachment(s)					
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)		Summary (PTO-413)			
<ol> <li>Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO Paper No(s)/Mail Date 10 / Dec 30, 2003.</li> </ol>		(s)/Mail Date Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) 			

Application/Control Number: 09/515,338 Page 2

Art Unit: 2642

## **DETAILED ACTION**

1. Claims 1-56 are presented for examination.

## Claim Rejections - 35 U&C § 103

2. Claims 1-22 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Henneuse et al (US Pat No. 5,963,913) in view of Eaton et al (US Pat No. 5,483,588), herein after referred as Henneuse and Eaton.

Regarding claim 1, Henneuse teaches a system for scheduling a conference between callers, comprising:

a database operable to store scheduling information indicating at least a start time, a duration, and a maximum number of callers for one or more scheduled conferences, the scheduling information reflecting available conferencing resources (see Fig 5 and col. 5, ln 39-col. 6, ln 10);

a server complex coupled to the database and operable to:

communicate, to' a requesting Internet Protocol (IP) user, at least one page comprising one or more scheduling input fields (see Figs 3 and col. 4, In 58-col. 5, In 17);

receive scheduling input from the requesting IF user for a requested conference according to the scheduling input fields (see Figs 3 and col. 4, ln 58-col. 5, ln 17);

Henneuse differs from claimed invention in which it does not explicitly teach:

Application/Control Number: 09/515,338

Art Unit: 2642

access the database to determine, according to the scheduling input, whether sufficient conferencing resources are available for the requested conference;

if sufficient conferencing resources are available, allocate at least some available conferencing resources to the requested conference; and

in response to determining sufficient resources are available, generate confirmations of the requested conference for communication to the callers.

However, Eaton teaches:

access the database to determine, according to the scheduling input, whether sufficient conferencing resources are available for the requested conference (see Fig 6 and col 10, Ins 54-65);

if sufficient conferencing resources are available, allocate at least some available conferencing resources to the requested conference (see Fig 6 and col 10, Ins 54-65); and

in response to determining sufficient resources are available, generate confirmations of the requested conference for communication to the callers (see Fig 6 and col 10, Ins 54-65).

Therefore, integrating Eaton's teachings into conferencing system of Henneuse would have been obvious for assuring quality of service provided to customers.

Regarding claim 2, Henneuse further teaches the scheduling input indicates at least a start time, a duration, and a maximum number of callers for the requested conference (See Fig 5 and col. 5, Ins 39-60).

Application/Control Number: 09/515,338

Art Unit: 2642

Regarding claim 3, Henneuse further teaches the scheduling input further comprises a caller identifier for one or more callers (See Fig 5 and col. 5, Ins 39-60).

Regarding claim 4, Henneuse further teaches the scheduling information specifies a type of confirmation each caller is to receive (See Fig 5 and col. 5, Ins 39-60).

Regarding claim 5, Henneuse further teaches the confirmation for a public switched telephone network (PSTN) caller provides a conference telephone number-, and the confirmation for an Internet Protocol (IP) caller provides an IP address (see Fig 3 and col. 4, In 58-col. 5, In 17).

Regarding claim 6, Henneuse further teaches the confirmation provides a conference telephone number and a conference Internet Protocol address (see Fig 3 and col. 4, In 58-col. 5, In 17).

Regarding claim 7, Henneuse further teaches the confirmation provides conference entry information selected from the group consisting of a conference identifier; and a conference password (see Fig 3 and col. 4, In 58-col. 5, In 17).

Regarding claim 8, Henneuse further teaches the confirmation further provides a caller identifier for the particular caller receiving the confirmation (see Figs 4-5 and col. 5, Ins 17-60).

Regarding claim 9, Henneuse further teaches the confirmation provides instructions for joining the conference to each caller, each caller being selected from the group consisting of a public switched telephone network (PSTN) caller; and an Internet Protocol (IP) caller (see Figs 4-5 and col. 5, Ins 17-60).

<sup>1</sup> Art Unit: 2642

Regarding claim 10, Eaton further teaches the server complex is further operable, if sufficient conferencing resources are not available, to generate alternative scheduling information for the requested conference, and communicate the alternative scheduling information to the requesting IP user for acceptance (see Fig 8 and col 12, Ins 13-44). Therefore, integrating Eaton's teachings into conferencing system of Henneuse would have been obvious for assuring quality of service provided to customers.

Regarding claim 11, Henneuse further teaches the server complex comprises at least a web server (see Fig 1 and col. 2, In 27-col. 3, In 8).

As to claims 12-22, they are rejected for the same reasons set forth to rejecting claims 1 -11 above, since claims 12-2'2 are merely a method of operation for the system defined in the system claims 1 -11.

## Allowable Subject Matter

- 3. Claims 23-56 are allowed.
- 4. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Bing Bui whose telephone number is (703) 308-5858. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Thursday from 7-30 to 5-00. If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Ahmad Matar, can be reached on (703) 305-4731. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 872-9306

Art Unit: 2642

Page 6

and for formal communications intended for entry (please label the response "EXPEDITED PROCEDURE") or for informal or draft communications not intended for entry (please label the response "PROPOSED" or "DRAFT").

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 305-4700.

Feb 17, 2004

BING BUI
PATENT EXAMINED